



PaleoTech Origins Series — PART 6

Provisional IP Governance

Occurrence-based summary (no timelines)

Purpose

This document summarises the decision to pursue provisional intellectual property protection as an early-stage governance measure. The intent was to protect emerging methods and system structure while preserving flexibility during continued development.

Context for Provisional Protection

At this point, the work had demonstrated internal coherence and structural novelty, but remained incomplete, exploratory, and subject to refinement. The primary risk was premature exposure of unfinished methods rather than competitive pressure.

Why Provisional Only

Provisional protection was selected deliberately to avoid locking claims prematurely. It allowed the work to continue evolving without forcing disclosure, while preserving optionality for future decisions based on evidence and maturity.

Scope and Restraint

The provisional filings were limited to core architectural concepts and method classes. They avoided claims of outcomes, performance, or predictive capability, and were not positioned as final or enforceable patents.

What This Phase Was Not

This phase was not a declaration of invention completion, a commitment to pursue full patent filings, or a signal of commercial readiness. It functioned as a protective pause rather than an acceleration.

Why This Phase Matters

This phase established proportional governance over intellectual property, ensuring that future collaboration, validation, and exposure could proceed without compromising integrity or flexibility.



PaleoTech

Physics Driven. Data Backed.
Future Focused.
